# WORKSHEET FOR ESTABLISHING, ALTERING, OR VACATING ROADS

The following provides an overview of the procedure contained in Minn. Stat. § 164.07 to establish, alter, or vacate a town road. Carefully follow the statutory requirements and always seek appropriate legal assistance when undertaking this procedure.

Note that this process and attached forms assumes the process was initiated by petition. If the board is working through this procedure based upon an authorizing vote of the town electors, various wording changes would need to be incorporated.

wording	changes w	ould need to be incorporated.		
(1)	The	The process may be initiated by <u>either</u> of the following methods:		
	` '	(1) Upon elector authorization received at an annual or special town meeting; (Minn. Stat. § 164.06, subd. 1) (See Attachment 1)		
	or			
	` '	Upon a petition signed by at least eight voters of the town who own land within miles of the road. (Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 1) (See Attachment 1a)		
	*	The petition may be by fewer people if there are not eight resident owners within the three mile area. If the board accepts as complete a petition with less than eight signers, the board should set out in the minutes of the meeting that it determined that there are less than eight resident owners living within three miles of the road.		
	*	The petition must include a description of the road and the portion to be altered or vacated. A petition to establish a road must include the names of the owners of land over which the road passes and the road's point of beginning, the general course, and termination.		
	*	The petition to establish or alter a road must also contain a statement of the purpose and necessity for establishing or altering a road.		
	*	Regardless of which method is used to initiate the process, the procedure in Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 2-12 is used to establish, alter, or vacate the road.		
	*	Although an alternative procedure to vacate a road is available to urban towns under Minn. Stat. § 368.01, subd. 25, it is recommended that the Minn.		

The petition must be filed with the town clerk who must present it to the town board.

Stat. § 164.07 procedure be used.

(3)	setting a date, time, and place for a road hearing. The order must describe, as nearly as practicable, the road proposed to be established, altered, or vacated, and the several tracts of land through which it passes. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 1.
	* The order must also contain a notice indicating that the affected landowners are entitled to judicial review of damages, need, and purpose as provided by law if the board does decide to establish or alter the road. (See Attachment 2)
	* If the procedure was initiated by a vote of the electors rather than by a petition, be sure the order contains a statement of public purpose and necessity that is required of a petition.
(4)	The <i>petitioners</i> are responsible for <i>personally serving</i> the order and a copy of the petition (if one) upon each owner and occupant of the land affected at least ten days prior to the hearing and for providing ten days <i>posted notice</i> of the hearing. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 2.
	* The town board should take it upon itself to provide at least ten days <i>published notice</i> of the hearing. (See Attachment 3)
	* Inform the petitioners that they are responsible for completing the affidavit of service, which will serve as proof that the appropriate owners were served notice. An affidavit of posted notice is also required.
	* If the process was initiated by the board with elector authorization, the board is responsible for providing all of the notices required in the process since there are no petitioners.
(5)	If the road to be vacated terminates at, abuts upon, or is adjacent to any public water, the <i>petitioners</i> must also serve notice of the order by certified mail upon the commissioner of natural resources at least <i>60 days</i> before the hearing.
	* Keep this in mind when selecting a hearing date.
	* A copy of the notice should also be sent to the regional DNR office.
(6)	If the road to be vacated terminates at, abuts upon, or is adjacent to any public water, at least 15 days before the hearing the town board or its designee "must consult with the commissioner of natural resources to review the proposed vacation." Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 2(c). The commissioner is required to evaluate the proposed vacation and must advise the town board or its designee
of	its evaluation.
	* It is not clear what exactly consultation the town board will need to have with the DNR to satisfy this requirement, but it is an obligation placed on the town board so the board must be sure to designate someone to contact the commissioner's office at

least 15 days before the hearing and keep notes about the conversation as support for the board having satisfied its consultation requirement.

(7)	At the hearing, the petitioners must present the affidavits of personal service and posting. This proof is important to establish the board's jurisdiction to continue with the process.	
(8)	At the hearing the board must examine the road, hear all interested parties, and decide whether to grant or refuse the petition. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 3. (See Attachment 4)	
	* The statute requires the board to examine the road. Occasionally, the board will hold the entire hearing at the road site. Others will start the hearing at the road, conduct the examination, recess the hearing to a specific time back at the town hall, and then reconvene hearing at the specified time. The board will need to determine how it will accomplish the road examination in a particular case.	
	If the petition calls for the vacation of a road that is the only means of access to property or properties containing five or more acres, <i>the road may not be vacated</i> without the consent of the property owner(s), unless the town provides the owner(s) with other means of access. Minn. Stat. § 160.09, subd. 3. Owner permission should be in writing and the signature notarized by at least the town clerk. Before landlocking anyone, be sure they consult with an attorney about creating a private easement so their property has some legal access. The easements should be put in place and recorded in conjunction with the vacation order; however, the owners seeking the vacation are entirely responsible for the work and cost association with establishing the private easements.	
	* A town may not condemn land for the purpose of constructing or designating a minimum-maintenance road without the owner's consent unless it is necessary for drainage or public safety. (Minn. Stat. § 160.095, subd. 3)	
(9)	If the petition is approved, the board must develop a road order that must be recorded with the county. (See Attachment 5)	
	* It is recommended the town bring a draft of the road order into the county recorder's office for an indication of whether it is in the proper form (e.g., correct margins, etc.).	
(10)	_ If the petition is denied, note that fact on the back of the petition (i.e., "petition denied").	
(11)	If vacating a road, the board must determine whether the ditches lateral to the road should continue to be maintained for the general health and welfare of the public. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 3a.	
	* If so, the town may insert a provision in the road order retaining a right of access for that purpose. (See also Minn. Stat. §§ 160.09 & 160.29) The adjacent owners are then prohibited from interfering with the functioning of the drainage facilities.	

(12)	If vacating a road in which there are located utilities, the board has adopted an ordinance to regulate utilities placing facilities in its rights-of-way, and the vacation does not require the relocation of the facilities, then the board must reserve to and for itself and all right-of-way utilities having facilities in the vacated right-of-way the right to install, maintain, and operate facilities in the vacated right-of-way and the right to enter the right-of-way to reconstruct, inspect, maintain, or repair facilities. The exception is if reserving these rights would not be "in the public interest." Minn. R. 7819.3200, subp. 1.
	* If the relocation necessitates moving the facilities, there are factors to be considered in determining who has to pay for the relocation. Minn. R. 7819.3200, subp. 2.
	* If the board has not adopted an ordinance regulating utilities in the right-of- way, then this requirement does not apply. However, it is recommended boards give notice of the hearing to any know utility with facilities in the road proposed for vacation so they can raise any concerns they may have.
(13)	If the petition is granted, and the board deems it necessary, it may require a survey to be made of the road. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 4. A survey is recommended and is vital to the development of the establishment resolution and road order.
(14)	The board must determine the amount of damages sustained by the establishment, alteration, or vacation. Damages may be determined by agreement between the board and the owner (See Attachment 6), or waived by the owner in writing, (See Attachment 7). If an agreement is not reached or a waiver obtained, the board must determine the amount of damages, if any, which must be awarded to each of the affected owners. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 5.
	* A number of factors have been considered when determining the amount of damages, but the general rule is that the measure of damages for a partial taking "is the difference between the fair market value of the entire piece of property immediately before the taking and the fair market value of the remainder of the property after the taking." State by Humphrey v. Strom, 493 N.W.2d 554, 558 (Minn. 1992) (citations omitted).
	* The board must also determine the monetary value of the benefits, if any, conferred by the board's action and subtract that amount from the damages. Determining benefits is most significant when vacating a road because the property will revert to the adjacent owners (i.e., the property will be cleared of the public easement). For information on reducing damage awards by benefits for condemnation refer to Attachment 9.
	* If the board sets damages by agreement with an owner, or an owner releases his or her claim to damages in writing, the agreement or release must be filed with the town clerk and are final.
(15)	The award of damages must be filed with the town clerk who, <i>within seven days</i> , must notify each of the affected owners and occupants of the award in writing. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 6.

- \* The notification must set out the date of the award, the amount, and any terms or conditions of the award. It must also include a clear and coherent explanation, using common language, of the requirements for appealing the award of damages. (See Attachment 8)
- Once the award of damages has been filed, the board may proceed to open, construct, alter, or change the highway. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 10. However, the alteration or establishment of a road must be suspended if a notice of appeal challenging the public purpose or necessity of the action is filed within ten days. While the statue does not mandate construction be stopped if the appeal happens after ten days, affected owners have 40 days to file an appeal. It is best to wait until after the 40 days appeal time frame before starting construction if possible.
  - \* If the board is changing the location of a road, the old road must remain open until the new road is opened for travel. The old road or any portion thereof does not revert to the abutting owners until vacated by the board. Minn. Stat. § 160.09, subd. 1.
  - \* If the only access to a property will be cut off by moving the road, the old road must remain open and maintained by the town until other acceptable access is provided. Minn. Stat. § 160.09, subd. 2.
- The owner or occupant may appeal the award of damages within 40 days of when the board filed the award of damages with the clerk. The appeal is initiated by filing a notice of appeal with the court administrator in the district court of the county where the land is located. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 7.
  - \* The notice must include a bond of at least \$250, with sufficient surety, approved by the judge or the county auditor, conditioned to pay all costs arising from the appeal in case the award is sustained.
  - \* Process and papers regarding the appeal must be served on the town board chair or the town clerk. Minn. Stat. § 365.40, subd. 2.
  - \* The notice must specify the award appealed from, the land it relates to, the nature and amount of the claim, and the grounds of the appeal.
  - \* The trial is carried out under certain procedures. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 8.
  - \* If no appeal is entered within the 40 days, the assessed damages are considered the same as a judgment and are final. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 9.
  - \* An appeal does not delay or prohibit the town from making improvements on the road unless it was filed within ten days and challenges the public purpose or necessity of a road alteration or establishment. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 10.

(18)	A certified copy of the final town road order must be presented to the county auditor, who must enter it in the transfer records and note on it, over the auditor's official signature, the words "entered in the transfer record." Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 11.
(19)	A certified copy of the order must also be recorded with the county recorder.
(20)	The board's decision to deny a petition is final, unless appealed from, for one year (i.e., a similar petition may not be brought for one year). If appealed, a similar petition may not be brought within one of the court's decision. Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 12.

	Coun		
	HORIZING THE TO		
	=	ing or special town meeting	ng of the electors of
General Descrip	otion of the [Proposed] Tow	n Road:	
establishment, vacation authorization of the town WHEREAS, the town town board initiate the in	electors determined that it is road procedure in Minn. Sta	ntained in Minn. Stat. § 16	4.07 upon receiving
Township,	C, BE IT RESOLVED, to County, Minnesota acation / alteration] procedu	hereby authorize the town	board to initiate the
Adopted this	day of	20	
		Town Mee	eting Moderator
Attest:Town C			

# TOWN ROAD [ESTABLISHMENT, ALTERATION, OR VACATION] PETITION

Township.

To the Town Board of

County, Minnesota:	
homestead or preemption laws or	rs of the Town who own real estate or occupy real estate under the r under contract with the state within three miles of the road ou to [establish, alter, or vacate] the following described road:
[a petition to estate beginning, its general cour	blish a road must at least include the road's point of rse, and its termination]
[a petition to alter description of the portion i	or vacate a portion of a road must include a specific to be altered or vacated]
- *	blish or alter a road must contain the following statements of se and necessity of the requested road establishment or alteration
The description of the severare as follows:	eral tracts of land over which the road passes and their owners
<u>Owners</u>	Description of Land
[add lines as needed]	
We ask that you proceed w Minn. Stat. § 164.07.	with such [establishment, alteration, or vacation] pursuant to
Dated this day of	, 20
Petitioners	Address/Land Owned
[add lines as needed]	

(**Note**: Only legal voters of the Town who own real estate or who occupy real estate under the homestead or preemption laws or under contract with the state within three miles of the road may sign the petition. At least eight signatures are required. If a husband and wife are both on the title to their property, and are voters of the town, then each can sign separately.)

# ORDER SETTING FORTH INITIAL DESCRIPTIONS AND SETTING HEARING DATE

WHE	REAS, the town board of	Township,	
County, Minnorequesting the	REAS, the town board ofesota was presented a petition at its meeting or [establishment, alteration, or vacation] of a r	theday ofoad;	, 20
altered or vac	<b>REAS</b> , the petition contained a description ated], the names of the owners over which neral course, and termination of the proposed	the [proposed] road passes,	
nearly as prac	<b>REAS</b> , Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 2 requires ticable the road to be [established, altered, of the road passes, and fixing a time and place won;	r vacated], describing the sev	eral tracts of land
NOW, THER	EFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
1.	The road proposed to be [established, altered A more specific description may be develo		[describe the road]
2.	The several tracts of land through which th	e road passes and their owner	rs are:
	<u>Owner</u>	Description of Land	
	[add lines as needed]		
3.	The Town Board wing, 20 road, receive public comment, consider the	, at	to examine the
	NOTICE OF RIGHT T	O APPEAL	
	owners have right of appeal as provided in Mir eed, and purpose if the town board does decided		
Dated:	В	Y THE TOWN BOARD	
Town Clerk	To	own Board Chair	

# NOTICE OF ROAD [ESTABLISHMENT, ALTERATION, OR VACATION] HEARING

	TOWNSHIP
	COUNTY
County, Minnesot, 20 at	own board of Township ta will conduct a public hearing on the day of p.m. at the Town Hall located a for the purpose of considering and acting upon a t, alteration, or vacation] of the following described
[describ	be the road]
All persons interested in this matter are encoura	aged to attend the hearing.
The tracts of land through which said road passe we can determine, are as follows:	es and the owners and occupants thereof, as nearly as
Owners	Description of Land
[add lines as needed]	
Dated:	
	(clerk's printed name)
	Township Clerk

# RESOLUTION [ESTABLISHING, ALTERING, OR VACATING] A TOWN ROAD

WHEREAS, the Town Board of	Township,	County,
Minnesota received a petition calling for the [establescribed road pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 164.07:		
[describe th	ne road]	
WHEREAS, said petition was signed by no estate, or occupy real estate under the homestead or within three miles of the road;		
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the road passes over the following, Minnesota, owned by the following name	•	in
<u>Owners</u>	<u>Description of</u>	Land
[add lines as needed]  WHEREAS, the town board conducted a p	oublic hearing on the petition on	the day of
, 20, after personal and poster Minn. Stat. § 164.07, subd. 2, and published notice	d notice provided by the petition	•
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the petitioners presented the to that the required notice was given;	own board with affidavits at the	hearing as proof
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the town board examined the the matter;	coad, heard the interested parties,	, and considered
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the town board determined the public interest;	at the petitioned for action is cor	nsistent with the
[If vacating add: WHEREAS, the town board had determined that said ditches (are/ are not) essen highways in support of the general health and welf	tial for surface drainage of ad	
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the Owners listed in Appendamages from such [establishment, alteration, or v	_	g all claims for

**WHEREAS**, the Owners listed in Appendix B have reached an agreement with the town board ascertaining their damages for the [establishment, alteration, or vacation] of the road;

[create an Appendix A listing these owners' names and the date they signed the release.]

[create an Appendix B listing these owners and the agreed upon amounts.]

**WHEREAS**, the town board has determined damages sustained and benefits conferred by said [establishment, alteration, or vacation] in the amounts and for the Owners listed in Appendix C, who did not release their claim for damages and did not ascertain the amount of damages by agreement with the town board;

[create an Appendix C that lists these owners and the amount of damages awarded to each]

Township,	THEREFORE, BE IT RESOL County, Mini	nesota hereby [es		
road legally des	cribed as follows: [provide lego	al description]		
town board does	board decided to preserve the distance the hereby preserve all rights and in 164.07, subd. 3a and retains the stokes;]	nterests in the dite	ches lateral to	the road as provided
authorized and d	FURTHER RESOLVED, that lirected to execute and record a to and to record the same with the	own road order [	establishing, a	altering, or vacating]
	INALLY RESOLVED, that the olution to each of the affected over			directed to provide a
Adopted this	day of, 20b	y the following	vote:	
	Town Supervisor	Yes	<u>No</u>	
-				
-				
Attest:Town C	lerk			Town Board
		Board Chai	r	

State of Minnesota	)	
State of Minnesota  County of	) ss. )	
I,		, clerk of
Township,	County, Minne	_, clerk ofesota, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing
		ownship with the original record thereof on file with
		eedings of said Board at a public hearing held on the
		that the same is a true and correct copy of said original
record and of the who	le thereof, and that said resolution	ution was duly passed by said Board at said hearing.
Witness my h	and and seal this day of	f, 20
		Signature
		Printed Name
		Township Clerk

# ORDER [ESTABLISHING, ALTERING, OR VACATING] A TOWN ROAD

	TOWNSHIP
	COUNTY
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the Town Board received a vacation] of a town road under Minn. Stat. § 16	a petition calling for the [establishment, alteration, o 64.07;
WHEREAS, the Town Board held, 20, notice thereof having been	a hearing on said petition on the day of en given;
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the Town Board passed a r [establishing, altering, or vacating] the road;	resolution on the day of, 20
<b>NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREB</b> hereby [established, altered, or vacated]: [d	Y ORDERED that the following described road is escribe the road]
Dated this day of,20	BY THE TOWN BOARD
Attest:	
Town Clerk	Town Board Chair

# AGREEMENT REGARDING DAMAGES AND WAIVER OF IRREGULARITIES AND APPEAL

			ay of, 20, between
	Township, _		County, Minnesota, hereinafter referred, hereinafter
to as the 'referred to	o as Owners.	and	, hereinafter
W more part	THEREAS, the Owners own icularly described as follow	real property in s: [describe th	County, Minnesota,
	<b>THEREAS</b> , the town has up, alter, or vacate] the follows	•	ocedure contained in M.S. § 164.07 to [describe the road]
W	HEREAS, said road passes	through the owner	rs' above described property;
	<b>THEREAS</b> , the owners will said road;	l sustain damages	by reason of [establishing, altering, or
Minn. Sta		nto consideration a	ay be ascertained by agreement pursuant to nd reducing the amount of damages by the
	OW, THEREFORE, in coners hereby agree that:	sideration of the m	utual promises contained herein, the Town
1.	E		Owners by reason of [establishing, altering, and shall be
2.	The Town shall pay Ovis hereby acknowledge		of damages set out above, receipt of which
3.	adequate, waive object	tion to any irregul	e amount of damages set out herein are not larity with regard to said [establishment, aive all rights to appeal in the courts.
above.	WITNESS WHEREOF, t	he parties have exe	cuted this Agreement on the date indicated
	Tow	rnship Ow	ners
By:	n Board Chair		nature
10W1	1 Doute Chuir	31g1.	intui

# signature

Attest:			
	Town Clerk		

## **RELEASE OF DAMAGES**

<b>WHEREAS</b> , the town board of	Township,
County, Minnesota has undertaken the procedure	
or vacate] the following described road:	, , ,
[describe	the road]
WHEREAS said [establishment alteration	on, or vacation] will benefit the property owners
adjacent to the road by [improving access to th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
unneeded public road easement from the property	
NOW THEREFORE Wa	and
NOW, THEREFORE, We being the owners of the a parcel of land in	allu Township
County, Minnesota, and more particularly describ	
county, winnessous, and more particularly describe	oca us.
[property de	escription]
hereby waive and release all claims to damages su	stained by us from the [establishment, alteration,
or vacation] of the above described road which ru	
Date:	
Date.	Owner
	Owner
	Owner
Signed before me by the names owners on	
the date stated	
Cl. 1 G.	
Clerk Signature	

## AWARD OF DAMAGES

STATE OF MINNESOTA )
COUNTY OF) ss.
TOWNSHIP OF)
WHEREAS, the town board of Township, County, Minnesota did on the day of, 20
$[establish, alter, or\ vacate]\ the\ road\ described\ on\ the\ attached\ order\ pursuant\ to\ Minn.\ Stat.\ \S\ 164.07;$
WHEREAS, the [establishment, alteration, or vacation] affects a tract of land in County, Minnesota, owned by and, and more particularly described as follows:
[describe owners' property]
<b>WHEREAS</b> , no prior written agreement ascertaining the damages or releasing all claims to damages were obtained from the owners;
<b>WHEREAS</b> , the town board has determined the amount of damages sustained by the owners by reason of the [establishment, alteration, or vacation] and have subtracted from that amount the money value of the benefits the board has determined will be conferred by said [establishment, alteration, or vacation];
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED, that in the matter of the [establishment, alteration, or vacation] of the above described road the town board on the day of,  20
20, has awarded and, as the owners of the above described tract of land, damages in the amount of: (\$), a check for which is enclosed, subject to the following terms and conditions:
[list any terms & conditions]
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that you have an opportunity under Minn. Stat. § 164.07 to appeal this award of damages. To appeal the award, notice of appeal must be filed with the court administrator of the district court of County [the county in which your tract of land is located] within 40 days of, 20 [the day the town board filed the award of damages with the town clerk]. Filing a notice of appeal will not prevent the town board from carrying out the action set out above unless the appeal challenges

Attachment 8 of Appendix A Page 2

the public purpose or necessity of a road alteration or establishment and is filed within ten days.
The notice of appeal must specify: (1) the award of damages or failure to award damages being
appealed from; (2) the land to which the appeal relates; (3) the nature and amount of the damages
you are claiming; and (4) the grounds of the appeal. The notice of appeal must also be
accompanied by a bond of at least \$250, with sufficient surety approved by the judge or the
county auditor conditioned to pay all costs arising from the appeal in case the award is sustained.
A copy of the notice of appeal must be mailed by registered or certified mail to the
Township clerk or other member of the town board.
Date Town Clerk

### REDUCING DAMAGE AWARDS BY BENEFITS

### **Introduction**

When the state or a local unit of government takes for damages private property it is required to pay the owner damages. Occasionally, the public project that necessitated the taking specially benefits the remaining portions of the owner's property. Unlike some states, Minnesota recognizes this potential windfall to the owner and allows the reduction of the damage award by the amount of the special benefits.

While this rule seems clear, as the courts have applied it over the years its scope has been greatly limited. To help understand this narrow aspect of condemnation, the following will provide a general review of the rule and then specific examples of how it has been applied.

### **General Rule For Condemnation Damages**

The owner is "entitled to the difference in market value immediately before the taking and the market value of the remaining tract after the taking, excluding from consideration general benefits and deducting special benefits." "An increase in market value is the difference between what a willing buyer would pay a willing seller for the property before the improvement and then after the municipality completes the improvements." The "estimate of market value can be based upon the highest and best use to which the property can reasonably be put in the foreseeable future or for which the property is best suited even if that use is not the present use at the time of the condemnation proceeding."

Minnesota has taken a more aggressive stance than many other states by allowing the deduction of special benefits from both the damages to the remaining land as well as from the value of the land actually taken.<sup>5</sup>

### **Special Benefits**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Minn. Const. Art. 1, sec. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>City of Chisago City v. Holt</u>, 360 N.W.2d 390, 392 (Minn. App. 1985), <u>citing State by Lord v. Hayden Miller Company</u>, 116 N.W.2d 535 (Minn. 1962).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> EHW Properties v. City of Eagan, 503 N.W.2d 135, 139 (Minn. App. 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> City of Chisago City, 360 N.W.2d at 392.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> State, by Mattson v. Colon, 194 N.W.2d 574, 579 n. 8 (Minn. 1972).

Special benefits from condemnation are measured in the same way as special benefits in special assessment levies. The "value of special benefits is found by determining what increase, if any, there has been in fair market value of the benefited land." General benefits may not be deducted from the award of damages. 8

For the most part, a special benefit requires a "physical change" in the subject property. For instance, a new access to the remaining land where none had previously existed. 10

### Condemnation as part of an Improvement Project

"Special benefits are not offset where the condemning authority has the power to require property owners to pay for improvements through the levy of special assessments." Otherwise, the owner would be paying twice for the same special benefit. At the time the court adopted this rule, it suggested that the mere power to levy an assessment for the improvements is enough to prohibited offsetting the damages even if the condemning authority never actually imposes the assessment. Furthermore, the prohibition remains even if the total market value of the special benefits is not recovered in the special assessment since no authority requires that "each and every cent of market value of special benefits be charged against the landowner." A long established rule the court recognizes is that "it is better to provide that special benefits be determined only once, in the statutory special assessment proceeding where all landowners and other interested parties will be represented and heard, rather than in a condemnation proceeding where only the specific property owner is a party." Application of this rule has received little discussion since it was adopted in 1969. Two cases in 1972 stated this rule as an exception to the general rule that special benefits may be deducted from the award of damages. However, in both instances the court found that there was no special benefit and so did not address whether a deduction was appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> City of St. Louis Park v. Engell, 168 N.W.2d 3, 8 (Minn. 1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>Id</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> State, by Mattson v. Colon, 194 N.W.2d 574, 577 (Minn. 1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> <u>Id</u>. at 579.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>Id</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> City of St. Louis Park v. Engell, 168 N.W.2d 3, 7 (Minn. 1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Id. at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> <u>Id</u>. at 8-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> <u>Id</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> <u>Id</u>. at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> State, by Mattson v. Colon, 194 N.W.2d 574 (Minn. 1972); State, by Head v. Hendrickson, 194 N.W.2d 571 (Minn. 1972).

In another case an owner lost his three direct accesses to a highway through a condemnation by a city. Once the land was condemned, the city built a service road and provided the owner with three accesses. The new accesses on the service road were not as convenient as those providing direct access to the highway.

In determining the damages, the trial court reduced the market value damage award by the amount of the special benefits of the new road and access, then added to the amount the city had specially assessed the property for the road and the additional cost to the owner of having to build a new road to his building from the new accesses. In other words, the trial court apparently did not follow the Minnesota Supreme Court's rule that no deduction from damage award should occur if the land can be assessed for the special benefits from the improvement. To be in accord with the rule, the trial court should have awarded the full market value damage amount, and left untouched the special assessment levied for the special benefit of the new service road. The numbers in this particular case demonstrated that the owner came out at least \$10,000 ahead by having his damages reduced rather than having to pay the special assessment.

### **General & Special Benefits - Examples**

**Interstate Highway Interchange:** When a portion of property is taken by the state for an interchange, "the enhancement in value of the remainder of the property occasioned by its proximity to the interchange and the expected traffic is not a special benefit and should not be set off against the amount awarded for the land actually taken or the damages, if any, to the land remaining after the taking."

**Increased Traffic:** A project that merely increases traffic past the remaining land without some physical change that directly benefits the property is not a special benefit. <sup>18</sup> Increased traffic similarly benefits neighboring owners whose land is not taken. An unfair burden would result if the owner whose land is taken is required to pay, through an offset, for a gain the neighbors receive gratis. <sup>19</sup> An owner acquires no vested rights in increased traffic and the gains of increased traffic could be lost by a subsequent change in traffic patterns.

**New Road or Access:** Creating a new road or access where none previously existed may by a sufficient physical change to create a special benefit. <sup>20</sup>

**Widened Road:** A special benefit was conferred by a project that widened a road from two lanes to four lanes, thereby improving access to an interstate highway.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> State, by Head v. Hendrickson, 194 N.W.2d 571, 572 (Minn. 1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> State, by Mattson, 194 N.W.2d at 579. See also State v. Anderson, 223 N.W. 923 (Minn. 1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> <u>Id</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> <u>State, by Mondale v. Mecklenberg</u>, 140 N.W.2d 310 (Minn. 1966) (created a direct access where none previously existed); <u>Haynes v. City of Duluth</u>, 50 N.W. 693 (Minn. 1891) (created a new road).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> EHW Properties v. City of Eagan, 503 N.W.2d 135 (Minn. App. 1993)

**Existing Service Adequate:** When a property, even if developed to the extent permitted by local zoning, had adequate access to existing water and sewer mains, no special benefit was received by a project that extended additional sewer and water mains to the property.<sup>22</sup>

### **Conclusions and Recommendations for Townships**

The general rule that special benefits may be deducted from condemnation damage awards still exists. The exception is when the condemning authority has the power to special assess the property for the improvements made as part of the project. The effect of these rules suggests that the only time a damage award may be offset by special benefits is when there is either no improvements or when there is no authority to specially assess for the improvements made. In the first instance, the absence of any improvements will make it extremely difficult to show an increase in the property's market value that would qualify as a special benefit. The second situation is also not very likely given the relatively broad authority to assess for improvements.

As a result, the practical implications seem to be that in most cases of condemnation the town should award the full amount of damages, then specially assess all of the properties, including those that were subject to the condemnation, specially benefited by the improvement project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Southview Country Club v. City of Inver Grove Heights, 263 N.W.2d 385, 388 (Minn. 1978); see also Independent Sch. Dst. No. 709 v. City of Duluth, 177 N.W.2d 812, 815 (Minn. 1970).